

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/769,797	02/03/2004	Andrew Shun Pui Chiu	016660-193	9241
21839	7590 07/26/2004		EXAM	INER
	ANE SWECKER & MAT	MILLER, BENA B		
	T OFFICE BOX 1404 XANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
712271111271	., v <u></u>		3712	<u></u>
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i></i>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
085 - 4-41 0		10/769,797	CHIU, ANDREW SHUN PUI
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Bena Miller	3712
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
 If NO period for reply is specified abor Failure to reply within the set or exten 	IS COMMUNICATION nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ig date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reve, the maximum statutory period ded period for reply will, by statuthan three months after the mail	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thin	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	2b)⊠ Th s in condition for allow	is action is non-final.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim 5) □ Claim(s) is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejection 7) □ Claim(s) is/are 8) □ Claim(s) are su	(s) is/are withdrallowed. ected. objected to.	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
Replacement drawing sh	is/are: a) ac at that any objection to the eet(s) including the corre	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. Certified copies3. Copies of the ce application from	☐ None of: of the priority documer of the priority documer rtified copies of the pri the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in A	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	202)	.	(DTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (PTO- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Di Information Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date	awing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/769,797

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract does not include the range of 50 to 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoneda et al in view of Kamoshita, Fetridge et al or Eisenbraun.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,797

Art Unit: 3712

Yoneda et al teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention except for a manually driven electrical power generating means. Kamoshita, Fetridge et al and Eisenbraun teaches in the figures that a manually driven electrical power generating means is used to supply electrical power to a toy. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a manually driven electrical power generating means as taught by Kamoshita, Fetridge et al and Eisenbraun to the toy of Yoneda et al for the purpose of powering the toy car.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, it would have been considered a mere design choice to use a foot-operated generator and treadmill-operated generator with the toy of Yoneda et al. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a foot-operated generator and treadmill-operated generator with the toy of Yoneda et al for the purpose of generating power to the toy.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al in view of Kamoshita, Fetridge et al or Eisenbraun.

Jones et al teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention including a sound generating mean. However, Jones et al fails to teach a manually driven electrical power generating means that supplies electrical power to the slot and the sound generating means. Kamoshita, Fetridge et al and Eisenbraun teaches in the figures that a manually driven electrical power generating means is used to supply electrical power to a toy. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a manually driven electrical power

Application/Control Number: 10/769,797

Art Unit: 3712

generating means as taught by Kamoshita, Fetridge et al and Eisenbraun to the slot of Jones et al for the purpose of powering the toy car.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, it would have been considered a mere design choice to use a foot-operated generator and treadmill-operated generator with the toy of Yoneda et al. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a foot-operated generator and treadmill-operated generator with the toy of Yoneda et al for the purpose of generating power to the toy.

Regarding claim 6, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to use a manually driven electrical power generating means as taught by Kamoshita, Fetridge et al and Eisenbraun with the sound generating means of Jones et al for the purpose of producing sound when the car is moving on the track.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Piserchia et al teaches a vehicle guidance track system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Examiner Art Unit 3712

bbm July 23, 2004